UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/910,104	07/20/2001	Tetsushi Kokubo	450100-03353	1695
20999 FROMMER L	7590 04/27/2007 AWRENCE & HAUG	EXAMINER		
745 FIFTH AV	VENUE- 10TH FL.		WEBER, CHRISTOPHER STEVEN	
NEW YORK, NY 10151		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3714	
SHORTENED STATUTO	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
. 3 MC	ONTHS	04/27/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		E
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
·	09/910,104	KOKUBO ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Christopher S. Weber	3714
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply but apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS to cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. e timely filed rom the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10/13 This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters,	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 2-7 and 32-37 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 2-7 and 32-37 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. tion is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	is have been received. Is have been received in Application of the second of the secon	cation No eived in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	il Date

Application/Control Number: 09/910,104

Art Unit: 3714

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

This office action is in response to applicant's amendment filed on October 13, 2006. Applicant amends claims 2, 4, 6, 32, 34 and 36; responds to claim rejections. Claims 2-7 and 32-37 remain pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
 Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 2, 4, 6, 32, 34 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Milanian US Patent 6,301,845.
- 3. Regarding at least claims 2, 4, 6, 32, 34 and 36 Milanian discloses inputting means for inputting image data, motion data, and IDs via a network, the process of "embedding" cues in the electronic memories controls visual (image) and motion data, Col 2 Lines 13-18; motion data generating means felt by user, seat moving, corresponding to image data, Col 2 Lines 13-18 and 30-33; ID generating means for Image and motion data, Fig 7, Col 11 Line 46 Col 12 Line 4; transmitting ID, image and motion data to a separate apparatus, Fig 4 and Fig

Application/Control Number: 09/910,104

Art Unit: 3714

5 demonstrate that the computing apparatus send this aforesaid information to the amusement apparatus consisting of projectors, seats, fans etc.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 6. Claims 3, 5, 7, 33, 35 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Milanian as applied to claims 2, 4, 6, 32, 34 and 36 above, and in view of McMullan, Jr. et al. US Patent 5,654,746 (Hereinafter McMullan).
- 7. Milanian does not specifically disclose charging means for using information processing apparatus and/or second apparatus, generating data regarding that fee, or charging in accordance with charge data input. McMullan also discloses an amusement system and various way to charge for services,

Application/Control Number: 09/910,104

Art Unit: 3714

including number of plays, analogous to riding and amusement device multiple times, rental period, analogous to riding an amusement device longer, as well as generating, storing, and presenting data regarding these various charges, Abstract, Col 11 Line 63 – Col 12 Line 30. It is notoriously well known in the entertainment/amusement/gaming world to charge for services, it would therefore have been obvious to one or ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the charging method taught by McMullan with the amusement device taught by Milanian in order to recoup expenses and make a profit as is the goal of entertainment and amusement endeavors.

8. **Examiner's Note**: Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references as applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings in the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant, in preparing the responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the examiner.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 2-7 and 32-37 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- 11. Jackson et al. US Patent 5,990,941
- 12. Alloul et al. US Patent 6,032,130

Conclusion

13. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher S. Weber whose telephone number is 571-272-3064. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7am - 4:00pm.

Art Unit: 3714

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert E. Pezzuto can be reached on 571-272-6996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pairdirect.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (tollfree). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

CSW

Rivary Examiner
4/25/07